

**Ecological History of Puruliya District: A Geographical Study of Subaltern Space**

**Anirban Roy**

Assistant Professor of Geography, A.B.N Seal College, Cooch Behar

The journey of human race upon the space called Earth is epic in many senses. Early human beings (*Homo Habilis*) started roaming on earth surface some 2.8 million years ago. Transformation of Hominids into modern human (*Homo sapiens*) took a long time and experienced changes in habitat, prey, social organization and most importantly, the technology. Indeed, development of technology was the key that transformed humans into 'producers' from 'gatherers' and gave an edge over their counterparts. Thus human history is actually created by the interaction of available technology and the mode of resource utilization. In other words, the interaction between the natural environment, that offers indefinite possibilities in the form of natural resources and the human society, that reacts in response depending upon the available technology over the space and time, writes the pages of history.

Evolution of modern day human society has experienced several kinds of resource utilization techniques. Although it varies widely over space and time across the societies, the entire human history can be classified into four distinct mode of resource utilization depending upon the presence or absence of available technology (Guha and Gadgil, 1992). Depending on the higher degree of available technology, they can be termed as gathering mode, pastoral mode, agricultural mode and industrial mode of resource utilization. These modes of resource utilization, except the industrial mode, can be found in the early human societies from the past as well as the primitive and traditional societies of the present.

Humans being a player in the ecological relationship within all modes of economy took the most important role in history. Vigour and intensity of resource creation and utilization by a particular human society is driven by their need and greed. Thus "the human history is a patch work of prudence and profligacy" (ibid).

The availability of higher level of technology that ensures greater utilization of resources within a particular time frame played an important role in history.

Society with a higher technology and greater resource base expanded faster than their technology poor counterparts. But as there is always competition over space, the society possessing higher technology or the higher mode of resource utilization eventually succeed to take control over the neighbourhood and becomes the hegemonic society. On the other hand, the society belonging to lower mode have to give up their space to the hegemonic society and either they become assimilated with the hegemonic society or concentrated themselves to some geographically confined space and continue their mode of resource utilization. In process, they become marginalised and termed as 'aboriginals' or '*adivasis*' by the hegemonic society. These marginalised societies, truly counteractive to and suppressed by the hegemony can be called subaltern societies. The present paper examines the scope of explaining marginalization of a geographical space, Puruliya district, in context of its ecological history.

Puruliya district inherits a rich history and heritage. Numerous archaeological sites scattered across the district are the evidences of its past glory and granger. It is a land impregnated with the vestiges of ancient habitats, inhabited by the oldest human races of the Indian subcontinent. Practically it is a land that have witnessed so many changes in human culture and society through the passage of time; right from the Palaeolithic times that date back to half million years before Christ, through the era of Jainism that started from 3<sup>rd</sup> century B.C. and reached its peak glory at 9<sup>th</sup> century A.D, to the advent of British Colonial period and the post-independence changes in recent times. During this enormous journey through the time, the district had experienced so many distinct modes of resource utilization, separated by the presence or absence of available technology, economy, ideology and social organization attached with them. Four modes of resource utilization i.e. Gathering, Nomadic Pastoral, Settled Cultivation and Industrial modes are discussed below in the perspective of Puruliya district.

**1. Gathering mode:** -Most of the scholars opine that the *Proto-Australoids* are the first and foremost residents of Puruliya. They are the ancestors of present aboriginal groups like Santhal, Khasi, Munda, Ho and Sabar. These people established their habitat at different river valleys coming out of the Chhotonagpur plateau in Palaeolithic times, around 5,00,000 to 10,000 B.C. These primitive residents of Puruliya had the knowledge of handling fire, but they do not know how to light up the fire. They used to reside in natural caves and shelters and

acquired the technology of making core tools, choppers, cleavers, scrapers, chisels, knife and drills from hard rocks. So many tools of Palaeolithic era that belong to *Abbevilio Acheulian* class had been found by the West Bengal Archaeological Department in an expedition along the valleys of *Matha*, *Hanumati*, *Nengsai*, *Amruhasa* and *Bandu* rivers of Puruliya district, in the year 1960 – '61. A classic sequence of tools of different lithic ages had been found in *DeulTanr* village situated in the bank of river *Bandu* (Chowdhuri, 2007).

Aboriginals of Puruliya were mostly hunter- gatherers. In gathering mode, societies depend almost exclusively on human muscle powers and on naturally available plants, animals and stones to fulfil their material requirements (Gadgil and Guha, 1992). They used to practice group hunting in the dense forests of the river valleys and plateau slopes. Besides they used to collect edible fruits, roots, shoots, bulbs, tubers and leaves from the forests. They also collect eggs, fishes, honey and other eatable animal products from nearby forests and rivers. The society was egalitarian and all resources were common property. The groups were small, endogamous and often formed through kinship. Generally there was a group leader but in no way he was different from other group members but to lead the group at the time of hunt or war. These people practiced animism where they worshiped various trees, animals, hills, forests, rivers and almost all forces of nature. This kind of worship is still visible in several tribal societies of the district, who are the descendant of these ancient people. These hunter-gathers probably shifted to nomadic mode and sedentary agriculture in later days but still some aboriginal ethnic groups of the district like *Birhors* prefer to practice hunter-gather economy.

**2. Pastoral mode:** - The long period of exclusive hunting and gathering in human history began to end with the domestication of animals and plants. It began probably in the Neolithic era that coincides with withdrawal of glaciers and warming up of the climate, some 10,000 years ago (Gadgil and Guha, 1992). Agriculture and animal husbandry started parallel and gone hand by hand. Agriculture was prevalent in moist and hot tracts while in the dryer or colder areas, where agriculture was not feasible, animal husbandry took its pride (Grigg, 1980). It was hard to maintain herds of domestic animals in a single locality as there were dearth of food and water. Thus nomadic pastoralism evolved as a distinctive mode of resource use. Pastorals had access to animal muscle power and they utilized that in transportation.

Animals were also sources of food that can be tapped as required, thus greatly increased flexibility and mobility within the human races (Gadgil and Guha, 1992).

In Puruliya, however, link of this mode of resource utilization is very feeble. But it cannot be denied that, aboriginals of Puruliya had learnt the technology of domesticate the wild animals at some point in the Neolithic period. Most part of the Puruliya was then covered under dense forest that provided abundant supply of fodder, which probably encouraged people to practice pastoralism sedentarily, instead of nomadism. Though there is still no direct evidence that can prove the aforesaid inference but the popularity of animal husbandry among the present tribal groups of Puruliya and the ritualistic importance of domestic animal worshipping festivals like '*KhutaParab*' in their culture certainly indicates long tradition of pastoralism in this district. Moreover there are several customs regarding animal husbandry that are still practiced within the aboriginal groups in Puruliya that resembles very much the sign of nomadic pastoral society. In such a society, division of labour was based on age and sex. Generally women children were involved in feeding, milking and tending the animals while men decided the route of migration. Herds of animals were owned by separate households but the pastures were invariably common property. This tradition is still very much relevant in present day Puruliya. Thus it can be stated that sedentary agriculture and pastoralism went hand in hand in Puruliya district and they still co-exist in aboriginal societies in Puruliya.

**3. Settled cultivation mode:** -Settled cultivation was started in Puruliya in the Neolithic period, some 10,000 years ago and became the predominant economic activity in the Chalcolithic times. Numerous tools and weapons of Neolithic and Chalcolithic age had been found in several places in and around Puruliya that are used for agricultural purposes (Chowdhuri, 2007). Number of aboriginal groups as well as their total population was increased considerably in the district during the Chalcolithic period and a civilization with finer agricultural and artisan techniques had emerged over the large undulated tracts lying west of the lower Ganga Basin. Campbell discovered 27 celts, made of copper, along the valley of river Barakar (Campbell, 1916). Valentine Ball found spearheads and celts made of quartzite, that belong to Chalcolithic times, in the coal fields of Jharia in 1865 (Ball, 1867). Several celts, bar celts and pick like objects of that age had also been found in the *Kulgadavillage* under Hura police station in Puruliya (Chowdhuri,

2007). All these inventories present us the evidences of an agricultural civilization that had emerged in the land of Puruliya around 2000 B.C.

Gradual improvement in agricultural tools and techniques i.e. sharper and stronger celts, invention of plough and draught and incorporation of animal muscle power in agriculture and transportation led to a revolution in the production system that in turn changed the social structure and economic relations in the society. Resource creation got importance over resource collection, although the gathering and pastoralism went side by side with the sedentary agricultural system in Puruliya. For the first time in the ecological history of Puruliya, surplus of production had been earned that attracted more and more people in agriculture. Clearing of forest lands started along the river valleys of the district for the sake of agriculture. Emergence of surplus in production led to multifaceted reactions in and across the societies. *Firstly*, the age old egalitarian system finally gave way to the era of personal property rights. Now, the agricultural lands, cattle and other resources were considered the property of the family, not the clan. Disparity in resource distribution started in due course and there emerged some richer families in Puruliya who had the control over large tracts of forests and agricultural lands. Eventually some of these families emerged as regional dynasties in Puruliya. Monarchy of *Panchkot*, *Patkum* and *Barahbhum* were some of those who had emerged during the period 1<sup>st</sup> century B.C to 2<sup>nd</sup> century A.D (Goswamy, 2004). *Secondly*, fast growth in population increased pressure on the ecosystem that started to disrupt the ecological balance of the region that was maintained earlier. Water crisis, drought and famine started to strike the region almost at periodic intervals. When the propagation of agriculture along the valleys of east flowing rivers in the region had pushed the forests to its extreme limit in the west, the famine started and swept away several lives, forcing many others to migrate from the region and gave the forest chance to propagate east ward. Thus the ecological balance of the region was restored in this way (Biswas, 2002). *Thirdly*, the agricultural surplus along with the valuable forest products, minerals and artefacts attracted people from the outer world to come in the region and to establish trade relations with them. Jains were the first *Aryans* to enter into the 'land of animism' (Mukhopadhyay, 2003). Jain traders or '*Sreshthis*', monks or '*Digambers*' and priests or '*Srabaks*' started to enter in Puruliya as early as 4<sup>th</sup> century B.C. At first they were not well received by the aboriginals but eventually Jainism succeeded to rest its feet in Puruliya and started the process of acculturation.

Jainism reached its peak glory in Puruliya between eighth and ninth century A.D. They succeeded to gather sponsorships from elite class and found some royal families like that of Kashipur and Manbazar, as their mentors. Their influence on the human societies in Puruliya remained till the seventeenth century B.C. But after that, Jainism eventually faded out from Puruliya district and Hinduism; *Brahmanism* in particular captured that void in the society. Today, only the *Sarak* tribe of northern Puruliya represents the remnant of Jain culture (Mondal, 2003).

Advent of Brahmanism in Puruliya was started in fourth century A.D. (Mukhopadhaya, 2003). The *Susunia edict* of king Chandrabarman of that time gives the evidence of *Sanskritization* in Puruliya. It is also evident from the *Chandil edict* of eighth century A.D. and from the writings of Sandhayakar Nandi (ibid). Telkupi and Panchakot were two great centres of excellence of Brahmanism in Puruliya. Several other places emerged with time that bears the relicts of Hindu sculptures that give the evidences of vast Hindu emergence in Puruliya even at the glorious periods of Jainism in the district. At present there are so many archaeological sites in the district those bare the emblems of Jain, Hindu and mixed cultures. Peculiarly, many statues of Jain prophets are now transformed into Hindu deities and are worshipped by Hindu people of Puruliya. A list of temple sites of Puruliya is given in table no. 2.1 stating their age of construction and religious attachments.

**Table 1: Temple sites of Puruliya with archaeological value**

Sl. No.	Time of Emergence (A.D.)	Historical sites according to their religious attachment.	
		Jain	Hindu
1.	6 <sup>th</sup> century	Para	————
2.	7 <sup>th</sup> century	Kroshjuri, Suisa	————
3.	9 <sup>th</sup> century	Pakbirra, Chharra, Deulghata	Budhpur
4.	10 <sup>th</sup> century	————	Telkupi,
5.	11 <sup>th</sup> century	Deoli, Dulmi, Arsha	Lagda, Golamara, Puruliya
6.	12 <sup>th</sup> century	Polma	————
7.	16 <sup>th</sup> century	Anai	DhadkiTanr,

			Tuisama, Achkoda
8.	17 <sup>th</sup> century	Budhpur, Ganpur	Cheliyama, Garpanchakot, Balarampur
9.	18 <sup>th</sup> century	—————	Baghmundi, Chaklator

*Source: Chowdhury A. K – 2007 and Mukhopadhaya S.C. 2003*

Rising importance of Hinduism in the society brought changes in ecological relation also. Unlike the Jain, who were mostly traders and money lenders, Hindus were essentially agriculturalists who came from the fertile tracts of the east and brought with them the agricultural techniques like intensive and flooded cultivation. Grain farming got the supreme priority and the economy had switched over from a balanced combination of gathering, pastoral and farming to exclusively agricultural mode. The present predominance of rice in the agriculture of Puruliya probably started from that time.

**4. Industrial mode:** - Industrial mode is the latest mode of resource use that is characterised by drastic change in the energy use pattern, changing goods into commodity and transformation of the society i.e. prioritizing 'individuals' over the group.

Industrial mode of resource utilization started in Puruliya after establishment of British colonial rule in the region at seventeenth century. British, driven by the ideals of capitalism that emerged from the industrial revolution were keen to maximising their profits rather than keeping harmony of the local ecology. Thus the mass scale extraction of forest, mineral and agricultural resources from the region started with a great vigour. Aboriginal people of Puruliya could not keep pace with this drastic change of resource use where most of their needed goods for livelihood were transformed into marketable commodities. Now they either had to pay taxes to collect them or they have been simply denied of their rights on those goods, what they enjoyed as a common property for a long time. Many of the ancient rulers of Puruliya lost their holdings due to their failure in keeping harmony with the British fiscal and land revenue policies. Conflict between the aboriginals and the British government became inevitable that resulted into various revolts and rebellions during the eighteenth century.

Puruliya was the hearth of historical *Chuar Revolt* that started in 1798 with the agitation of Lal Singh, a *Tarafder* of *Saterokhani* area in Barabhum. Lal Singh denied paying tax to *East India Company* and looted many Jamindars who were friendly with the *Company*. British, unable to tame Lal Singh by force, planned to mediate with him. British magistrate Henry Strachy, who was the then in charge of '*Jungalmahal*', planned to render the responsibility of maintaining law and order situation in the region to the aboriginals like Lal Singh. Strachy, by the approval of Lord Wellesley, withdrawn all charges against the *Chuars* and engaged them in a new system of policing called '*GhatoalPolice*' entirely formed and maintained by the aboriginals (Strachy, 1800).

*Ghatoal Police* system may have rendered peace for the time being, but it was short lived. Resistance from aboriginal groups from different parts of the district keep coming one after another. The '*GanganarayaniHungama*' of 1832, *Kol revolt*, *The Santhal rebellion* of 1849, '*TanaBhagat*' and the '*Ulgulan*' of Birsha Munda are some of them. In most of the cases, aboriginals fought for their rights over land, water, forests and agricultural productions. Whenever the British policy marginalised the rights of the aboriginals on those resources that control their livelihood, they had to protest violently.

**Conclusion:** Six decades had past after the formation of Puruliya district in 1956. But there is still no sign of change in governmental policies regarding resource mobilization. Instead, the gap between the hegemonic society and the subaltern society are widening fast. Several policies and rules of the colonial period are still in practice. Marginal groups like *Sabars* are still termed as 'Criminal tribes' by the hegemonic law. On the other hand, some unstoppable technological inventions like television and cell phones made their way in subaltern society. Their effects on the subaltern space can be a subject of future research, but for the present, it can be said that the ongoing system of conquering subaltern space, both in terms of ecology and culture, must be reconsidered to reconcile the gap between the societies in Puruliya.



Remnant of an ancient Jain temple at Deulpur



Remnant of an ancient Hindu temple in Garpanchakot



A traditional *Chhou* mask



A folk artist performing *Chhou* dance



*Nachni*; the dancer



Celebration of *Baha* festival observed during the spring season.

**References:**

1. Ball V. 1867. 'Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal'. Asiatic Society, Kolkata.
2. Biswas A, 1999: *Prasanga: Paribeshio Bodh Samiksha*; Ed. Roy R, *Pratiti* Arabindo Biswas felicitation volume, Chinsura, Hooghly.
3. Bureau of applied economics and statistics, 2004: District Statistical Handbook, Puruliya District; Government of West Bengal. Kolkata
4. Chatterjee B and Ghosh D.K, 2003: Towards a District Development Report for West Bengal; State Institute of Panchayats and Rural development, West Bengal, Kalyani.
5. Chattopadhyaya S, 2007: Wild life in Puruliya; Ed. Roy S, *Paschimbanga*, Vol. 40 No. 11, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of West Bengal, Kolkata, P 87.
6. Chowdhuri M, 2004: Rivers of Puruliya; Ed. Jana D, *Ahalyabhumi Puruliya (Vol.-2)*. Deep Prakashoni, Kolkata.
7. Chowdhury A.K, 2007: *Puruliyarpratnatathya*; Ed. Roy S, *Paschimbanga* Vol. 40 No. 11, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of West Bengal, Kolkata, P 157.
8. Forest Directorate, 1998: Assessment of forest cover; Departmental report, Government of West Bengal. Kolkata.
9. Gadgil M and Guha R, 1992: This fissured land: An ecological history of India; Oxford University Press, New Delhi, XIV+274pp.
10. Goswami D.K, 2004: Puruliyarprachinrajbangshagulirsankhiptoparichay; Ed. Jana D, *Ahalyabhumi Puruliya (Vol.-2)*, Deep Prakashoni, Kolkata.
11. Grigg D.B, 1980: The agricultural systems of the world: an evolution by approach; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
12. Mishra T, 2006: Forests of Bengal; Paschimbanga Bigyan Mancha, Kolkata.
13. Mondal A.K, 2007: Problems and prospects of agriculture in Puruliya district; Ed. Roy S, *Paschimbanga* Vol. 40 No. 11, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.
14. Mondal K.K, 2003: The Sarak society; Ed. Sengupta S, *Lokayata Manbhum*, Antara, Bidhannagar, Kolkata.